arXiv:1410.1910v3 [math.AC] 3 Aug 2015

IDEALS GENERATED BY PRINCIPAL MINORS

ASHLEY K. WHEELER

Abstract. A minor is *principal* means it is defined by the same row and column indices. We study ideals generated by principal minors of size $t \leq n$ of a generic $n \times n$ matrix X, in the polynomial ring generated over an algebraically closed field by the entries of X. When $t = 2$ the resulting quotient ring is a normal complete intersection domain. We show for any t, upon inverting $\det X$ the ideals given respectively by the size t and the size $n-t$ principal minors become isomorphic. From that we show the algebraic set given by the size $n-1$ principal minors has a codimension 4 component defined by the determinantal ideal, plus a codimension n component. When $n = 4$ the two components are linked, and in fact, geometrically linked.

1. Introduction

Let $X = (x_{ij})$ denote a generic matrix, $K[X]$ the polynomial ring over an arbitrary algebraically closed field K, generated as a K-algebra by the entries x_{ij}. For the past several decades algebraists have studied ideals defined using generic matrices – there are the very well-studied determinantal ideals (see [7–9, 14, 17, 34, 35, 39]), due to their connection to invariant theory (as in [4]); Pfaffian ideals (see [5, 20–22, 32]), whose study is often inspired by the result from [3]; and various ideals related to the commuting variety (see [1, 11, 23, 27, 33, 40]), to name only a few.

Our focus is on the ideals generated by the principal minors (i.e., those whose defining row and column indices are the same) of an $n \times n$ generic matrix. We use $\mathfrak{P}_t = \mathfrak{P}_t(X) \subseteq K[X]$ to denote the ideal generated by the size t principal minors of X. In developing their generalized version of the Principal Minor Theorem, Kodiyalam, Lam, and Swan ([24]) draw some relationships between the principal minor ideals and the Pfaffian ideals. One contrast that stands out is that the Pfaffian ideals, like the determinantal ideals, satisfy a chain condition according to rank, whereas the principal minor ideals do not.

Date: August 4, 2015.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C40, 14M12.

This work partially partially supported by NSF grant 0943832.

Our motivation is in finding results about principal minor ideals comparable to what is known about the Pfaffian and determinantal ideals. As the observations in [24], and in [12], suggest, such results prove challenging. For example, it turns out the ideals $\mathfrak{P}_t$ are in general not Cohen-Macualay (follows from Theorem 4). By Hochster and Roberts' famous result that rings of invariants of reductive groups acting on regular rings, a class in which quotients by determinantal and Pfaffian ideals belong, are Cohen-Macaulay, it follows that principal minor ideals do not arise as defining ideals for rings of invariants.

Nonetheless, principal minors have their own interesting contexts. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries algebraists began studying subsets of all the principal minors of a square matrix; see [25, 36, 37], in which an established result is that $n^2 - n + 1$ of the $2^n - 1$ principal minors of a generic $n \times n$ matrix are independent. In [13], Griffin and Tsatsomeros study the Principal Minor Assignment Problem (PMAP), the problem of finding an $n \times n$ matrix with prescribed principal minors. Among other applications, PMAP "is a natural algebraic problem with many potential applications akin to inverse eigenvalue and pole assignment problems that arise in engineering and other mathematical sciences." Holtz and Sturmfels show the relations among the principal minors of a symmetric matrix satisfy the *hyperdeterminantal relations* (see [18]), and comment on the connections to probability theory. The set-theoretic equations for the variety of principal minors of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices are computed in [30]; the same year Oeding shows in [29] that restricting to matrices of rank at most one gives the tangential variety of the Segre variety.

Again, in this work we focus on principal minors of a fixed size. Ideals generated by subsets of 2-minors alone have applications in integer programming because they are binomial (see [6]); it is known, as a direct consequence of the results in [10], that $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is a prime complete intersection, its corresponding quotient ring is normal, and its divisor class group is free of rank $2^n - n - \binom{n}{2}$.

1.1. **Results.** We collect and summarize the main results.

Theorem (Theorems 1 and 2, Corollary 1; see Section 2)**.** *For all n, $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is prime, normal, a complete intersection, and toric. Hence, $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is strongly F-regular and Gorenstein of codimension $\binom{n}{2}$.*

The strategies in proving Theorems 1 and 2 heavily exploit the fact that $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is toric (see Chapter 4 of [38] for information about toric ideals), that is, the quotient $K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$ is isomorphic to a ring generated by monomials. Our proof of these results is independent of those given in [10]. We use Cohen-Macaulayness of a complete intersection to prove primality of $\mathfrak{P}_2$.

The $t > 2$ cases require a different approach. We work based on the observation that the irreducible components of $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$ for fixed t may be partitioned according to the rank of a generic element. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t}$ denote the locally

closed set of rank r matrices in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$. As r varies, the components of the sets $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t}$ cover $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$, hence, so do their closures. Our approach to studying the schemes $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$ is to find those closures, and then omit the ones not maximal in the family, in order to get the components of $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$.

Theorem (3; see Section 3.1)**.** *In the localized ring $K[X][\frac{1}{\det X}]$, the K-algebra automorphism $X \mapsto X^{-1}$ induces an isomorphism of schemes $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,t} \cong \mathcal{Y}_{n,n,n-t}$.*

Theorem (4; see Section 3.2)**.** *For $n \geq 4$, $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$ has two components. One is defined by the determinantal ideal I_{n-1}. The other is the Zariski closure of the locally closed set $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,n-1}$, and has codimension n.*

Corollary (4; see Section 3.2)**.** *For $n \neq 3$, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{P}_t) \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{t+2}{2} + 4$.*

We conjecture $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ is reduced and we prove it for $n = 4$. It follows that $\mathrm{I}_3 = \mathrm{I}_3(X_{4\times 4})$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_3 = \mathfrak{Q}_3(X_{4\times 4})$, the defining ideal for the Zariski closed set $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{4,4,3}$, are algebraically linked. In Section 4 we discuss these consequences.

Theorem (Theorem 5, Corollaries 5, 6, 7; see Section 4)**.** *For $n = 4$, $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is reduced. Consequently, I_3 and $\mathfrak{Q}_3$ are algebraically linked and hence $\mathfrak{Q}_3$ is Cohen-Macaulay with 5 generators. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{Q}_3 = \mathfrak{P}_3 :_{K[X]} \Delta$, where $\Delta = \det X$.*

1.2. **Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Viviana Ene and Luke Oeding for pointing out their results on principal minors, and Lance Miller, for the observation that in general, since a quotient by a principal minor ideal is not Cohen-Macaulay, it cannot be a ring of invariants.

2. Principal 2-Minors Case

For all n, we immediately see $K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_1$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in $n^2 - n$ variables over K, since the generators for $\mathfrak{P}_1$ are just the diagonal entries of X. For the $n = t = 2$ case, $X = X_{2\times 2}$, we recognize $K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$ as the homogeneous coordinate ring of the image of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ under the Segre embedding.

The next case we consider is when $t = 2$ and n is fixed. We first show $R = K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$ is a complete intersection and $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is toric, hence prime. We then show R is normal. Hochster showed in [15] (1972) that quotients of toric ideals are direct summands of polynomial rings, a fact that, together with normality, implies when K is characteristic $p > 0$, $K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$ is F-regular ([16]). Furthermore, since R is Gorenstein all notions for R of F-regularity, strong F-regularity, and weak F-regularity are equivalent ([17]).

Theorem 1. *For all n, $K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$ is a complete intersection domain.*

Proof. The $n = 2$ case gives the homogeneous coordinate ring for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which is a complete intersection domain. We proceed by induction on n:

let $X' = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n-1}$ denote a size $n-1$ matrix of indeterminates and suppose $\mathfrak{P}_2(X')$ satisfies the theorem. Append to the bottom of X' the row $(x_1 \cdots x_{n-1})$, then to the far right the column $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}, z)$. Let X denote the resulting size n matrix. The ideal generated by the principal 2-minors is

$$\mathfrak{P}_2(X) = \mathfrak{P}_2(X')K[X] + (zu_{ii} - x_i y_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n-1).$$

Put $A = K[X']/\mathfrak{P}_2(X')$, and

$$R = \frac{A[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}]}{(zu_{ii} - x_i y_i)} \cong \frac{K[X]}{\mathfrak{P}_2(X)}.$$

First, we show R is a complete intersection. By the induction hypothesis, A is a complete intersection domain, hence so is the polynomial ring

$$\tilde{A} = A[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}].$$

In particular, $\tilde{A}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. It follows that if $zu_{ii} - x_i y_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, form a regular sequence on $\tilde{A}$, then R is also a complete intersection. We shall show $x_i y_i$ form a regular sequence in $\tilde{A}/(z)$. To see why, note all polynomials we consider are homogeneous, so $zu_{ii} - x_i y_i$ form a regular sequence if and only if

$$zu_{11} - x_1 y_1, \ldots, zu_{n-1,n-1} - x_{n-1} y_{n-1}, z$$

form a regular sequence, if and only if

$$z, zu_{11} - x_1 y_1, \ldots, zu_{n-1,n-1} - x_{n-1} y_{n-1}$$

form a regular sequence. The strategy works because clearly z is not a zerodivisor in the domain $\tilde{A}$. Working now in $\tilde{A}/(z)$, there are 2^{n-1} minimal primes for the ideal $I = (x_i y_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n-1)$, each generated by picking one variable from each pair $\{x_i, y_i\}$. Therefore I has (pure) height $n-1$. Height and depth of an ideal are equal in a Cohen-Macaulay ring, so the generators for I must form a regular sequence, as desired.

We now show R is a domain, by showing it is isomorphic to a *semigroup ring* (see Chapter 7 of [26]). We first claim the variables x_i, y_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, are not zerodivisors on R. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay it suffices to show each is a homogeneous parameter. Fix i and suppose we kill a minimal prime, P, of (x_i). The minor $zu_{ii} - x_i y_i$ is in $(x_i) \subset P$, so P must also contain either u_{ii} or z. If $z \in P$ then we already know the dimension of R drops upon killing P, since z is a parameter. On the other hand, suppose $u_{ii} \in P$. Then the relations $u_{ii}u_{jj} - u_{ij}u_{ji} = 0$ imply, for each $j \neq i$, either u_{ij} or u_{ji} is in P. By the induction hypothesis none of these variables are zerodivisors, so again, the dimension of R drops upon killing P and we are done.

Having shown x_i, y_i are not zerodivisors on R, we next observe R injects into its localization at any subset of the variables $\{x_i, y_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Fixing i, if we invert either x_i or y_i then we can use the principal 2-minor relations to solve for the other. The same arguments held for the smaller

matrix X', so we may invert, say, all of the entries below the diagonal of X, then solve for the ones above the diagonal. The resulting K-algebra, isomorphic to R, is generated by the $\binom{n+1}{2}$ indeterminates on or below the diagonal of X, along with monomials of the form $u_{ii}u_{jj}u_{ji}^{-1}$ for $i < j$, and monomials $u_{ii}zx_i^{-1}, u_{ii}zy_i^{-1}$ for each i. Therefore R is a semigroup ring. □

Theorem 2. *For all n, $K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$ is normal.*

Proof. Let J denote the defining ideal of the singular locus for $R = K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$. Serre's condition says if J has depth at least 2, then R is normal. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay (Theorem 1) it is enough to show J has height at least 2. Let J' denote the ideal generated by the degree n monomials whose factors consist of exactly one variable from each pair $\{x_{ij}, x_{ji}\}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Then $J' \subseteq J$, since for all such monomials μ, $R[\frac{1}{\mu}]$ is a localized polynomial ring. We will show each of the minimal primes of J' contains some height 2 ideal (x_{ij}, x_{ji}).

Let P be a minimal prime of J'. If for each pair $\{x_{ij}, x_{ji}\}$ we can choose one element not in P, multiply these choices together to get an element $u \in J' \setminus P$, a contradiction. Therefore there exists some pair $\{x_{ij}, x_{ji}\} \subset P$, $i \neq j$. Now suppose we kill that pair. The principal 2-minor relation $(x_{ii}x_{jj} - x_{ij}x_{ji})$ implies either x_{ii} or x_{jj} must also vanish; without loss of generality, say x_{ii}. Then, for each $k \neq i, j$, the relation $x_{ii}x_{kk} - x_{ik}x_{ki} = 0$ implies either x_{ik} or x_{ki} must vanish.

We now count the drop in the dimension from $\dim K[X] = n^2$, upon killing $\mathfrak{P}_2$ and $(x_{ij}, x_{ji}) \subset P$. Killing x_{ij}, x_{ji}, x_{ii} as above, along with the $n-2$ variables x_{ik} or x_{ki} for each $k \neq i, j$ drops the dimension by at least $3 + (n-2) = n+1$. The binomials $x_{ii}x_{kk} - x_{ik}x_{ki}$ now vanish automatically. The remaining binomials defining $\mathfrak{P}_2$ are exactly those not involving variables with i in the index. There are $\binom{n-1}{2}$ such, and since $\mathfrak{P}_2$ is a complete intersection the dimension goes down to

$$n^2 - (n+1) - \binom{n-1}{2} = \binom{n+1}{2} - 2 = \dim R - 2,$$

as desired. □

Corollary 1. *For all n, $K[X]/\mathfrak{P}_2$ is strongly F-regular, and hence, F-regular.*

Proof. Since a normal ring generated by monomials is a direct summand of a regular ring, this follows from [15] and [16]. □

3. Using Matrix Rank to Find Minimal Primes of Principal Minor Ideals

Describing $\mathfrak{P}_2$ relied on the fact that its generators, the principal 2-minors, are binomials. Of course, once $t > 2$, the generators for $\mathfrak{P}_t$ are not binomial and another strategy is required. As it turns out, for any t, the components

of $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$ are stratified according to the rank, r, of a generic element. For fixed n, r, t with $1 \leq t, r \leq n$, let $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t} \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$ denote the locally closed subset of matrices with rank exactly r. We study the components of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t}$ and take their Zariski closures, $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{n,r,t}$, in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$. The components of $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$ will be among those closures as we vary r, since they are irreducible closed sets whose union is $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$. The issue is which ones are maximal. In this section we shall analyze the sets $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,t}$ and then apply the results to $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$.

3.1. **Rank $r = n$.** We have a convenient way to describe components of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,t}$, which follows from a classical theorem stated and proved in Sir Thomas Muir's 1882 text, *A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants*. We first introduce some notation. For any $n \times n$ matrix A, suppose $\underline{\mathrm{i}}, \underline{\mathrm{j}} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ are indexing sets of cardinality t:

$$\underline{\mathrm{i}} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_t\}$$
$$\underline{\mathrm{j}} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_t\}$$

We use $A(\underline{\mathrm{i}}; \underline{\mathrm{j}})$ to denote the submatrix in A indexed by the rows $\underline{\mathrm{i}}$ and columns $\underline{\mathrm{j}}$, and

$$A_{\underline{\mathrm{i}},\underline{\mathrm{j}}} = (-1)^{\sigma} \det\left[A(\{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \underline{\mathrm{i}}; \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \underline{\mathrm{j}})\right],$$

where $\sigma = i_1 + \cdots + i_t + j_1 + \cdots + j_t$, to denote the $(\underline{\mathrm{i}}, \underline{\mathrm{j}})$th cofactor of A. The *cofactor matrix* of A, denoted $\mathrm{cof}(A)$, is the matrix whose (i, j)th entry is the cofactor $A_{\{i\},\{j\}}$. We shall often abuse notation and write $A_{ij} = A_{\{i\},\{j\}}$. Recall, the *classical adjoint* of A, denoted $\mathrm{adj}(A)$, is the transpose of the cofactor matrix.

Theorem ([28], §96)**.** *Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Suppose μ is a size t minor of* $\mathrm{adj}\, A$*, indexed by the rows $\underline{i}$ and columns $\underline{j}$ of* $\mathrm{adj}\, A$*. Then*

$$\mu = (\det A)^{t-1} \cdot A_{\underline{i},\underline{j}}. \tag{1}$$

Let $S = K[X]$ and $\Delta = \det X$, and write $S_\Delta = S[\frac{1}{\Delta}]$.

Theorem 3. *In the localized ring S_Δ, the K-algebra automorphism $X \mapsto X^{-1}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,t} \cong \mathcal{Y}_{n,n,n-t}$.*

Proof. The set $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,t}$ is the subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(S_\Delta) \cong \mathrm{GL}(n, K)$, the general linear group of order n over K, defined by the vanishing of the ideal $\mathfrak{P}_t S_\Delta$. The general linear group has an automorphism, $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ for each $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, K)$, which induces a K-algebra automorphism, $\Phi : S_\Delta \to S_\Delta$, which sends the entries of X to the respectively indexed entries of X^{-1}:

$$\Phi : x_{ij} \mapsto (-1)^{i+j} \frac{1}{\Delta} X_{ji}$$

It is clear Φ is its own inverse. By Muir's theorem (Equation (1)), each $t \times t$ minor of X is mapped to the complementarily indexed $(n-t) \times (n-t)$ minor

of X^{-1}, multiplied by Δ^{1-t}. Hence $\mathfrak{P}_t S_\Delta \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{n-t} S_\Delta$. And,

$$\frac{S_\Delta}{\mathfrak{P}_t S_\Delta} \cong \frac{S_\Delta}{\mathfrak{P}_{n-t} S_\Delta}$$

induces the isomorphism on the respective schemes. □

Corollary 2. *The locally closed set $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,n-1}$ is irreducible, with codimension n.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 it is enough to look at

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,1} \cong \operatorname{Spec} \frac{S_\Delta}{\mathfrak{P}_1 S_\Delta},$$

which is clearly irreducible of codimension n. □

Corollary 3. *The locally closed set $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,n-2}$ is irreducible, with codimension $\binom{n}{2}$.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 to $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,2}$: Since $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_2)$ is irreducible of codimension $\binom{n}{2}$, so is its open subset, $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,2} \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_2)$. □

3.2. **Principal $(n-1)$-Minors Case.** In this section we shall assert $n \geq 4$, which suffices in studying the minimal primes for $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$, since $\mathfrak{P}_2(X_{3\times 3})$ and $\mathfrak{P}_1(X_{2\times 2})$ are both prime. It turns out that when $n \geq 4$, the determinantal ideal, I_{n-1} (generated by all size $(n-1)$ minors of X), is a minimal prime for $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ (this is part of the statement of Theorem 4). To see I_{n-1} cannot be the only minimal prime, note the following examples.

Example 1. Say $n = 4$. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{Y}_{4,4,3} \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_3)$$

is of full rank and its principal 3-minors vanish. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{I}_3) \subsetneq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_3)$ as algebraic sets.

Example 2. In general, given a permutation τ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with no fixed points, its corresponding matrix has zeros on the main diagonal. Hence, the matrix of τ^{-1} has full rank and its $(n-1)$-size principal minors vanish. Since τ and τ^{-1} have the same fixed points, this applies to τ as well.

We shall see the only other minimal prime for $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ is the defining ideal for the closure of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,n-1}$. In other words, we claim the contraction of the ideal $\ker \Phi = \mathfrak{P}_{n-1} S_\Delta$ to S, as in Theorem 3, is a minimal prime for $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}(X)$ denote this contraction. Let $S = K[X]$, $\Delta = \det X$, and $S_\Delta = S[\frac{1}{\Delta}]$, as in Theorem 3. For any set $\mathcal{Y} \in \operatorname{Spec} S$, let $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ denote its closure.

Theorem 4. *For all $n \geq 4$, the minimal primes of $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ are exactly I_{n-1} and $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$.*

Proof. An immediate observation we make is when $r < t$, the matrices in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t}$ have rank strictly less than t, so are in $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{I}_r) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathrm{I}_t)$, where $\mathrm{I}_r, \mathrm{I}_t$ are determinantal ideals. In other words, if $r < t$, then any associated prime for the defining ideal of the closure of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t}$ must also contain I_t.

The proof proceeds as follows: First, we show $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ is indeed a minimal prime for $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$. Then, we show $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ and I_{n-1} are incomparable. It then remains to analyze the case where a point $A \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$ has rank $r = n-1$. We will show the components containing A in that case are embedded components in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$. From that, we then conclude I_{n-1} is the only other minimal prime for $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$.

Let D_Δ denote the distinguished open set in $\operatorname{Spec} S$ consisting of invertible matrices. We have

$$(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}) \cap D_\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}).$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 3,

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}) = \overline{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}) \cap D_\Delta} \cong \overline{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_1) \cap D_\Delta}$$

is exactly the closure in $\operatorname{Spec} S$ of the set of invertible matrices whose inverses have all zeros on the diagonal. On the other hand, $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}) \cap D_\Delta$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$, so

$$\dim\left(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}) \cap D_\Delta\right) = \dim(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}).$$

Any prime strictly contained in $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ must have height smaller than $\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$, and so cannot contain $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ is a minimal prime for $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$.

We now show I_{n-1} and $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ are incomparable. When $n > 4$ we clearly cannot have $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathrm{I}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$, because $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ is a minimal prime, and $\operatorname{ht} \mathrm{I}_{n-1} = 4 \neq n$. The difference in height also shows why we cannot have $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathrm{I}_{n-1}$ for $n > 4$. When $n = 4$, I_{n-1} and $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ each have height 4 so since they are prime, containment between them occurs if and only if they are equal. However, Example 2 exhibits a matrix in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}) \setminus \mathcal{V}(\mathrm{I}_{n-1})$, so $\mathrm{I}_{n-1} \neq \mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$.

We look for any additional minimal primes of $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$, according to rank. Choose $A \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$. If $\operatorname{rank} A$ is n, then $A \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1})$. If $\operatorname{rank} A < n-1$, then the $(n-1)$-minors of A must vanish, so $A \in \mathcal{V}(\mathrm{I}_{n-1})$. It remains to find the components of $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$ containing A when $\operatorname{rank} A = n-1$. We claim any such component is not defined by a minimal prime. This will also imply I_{n-1} is minimal, since I_{n-1} and $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ are incomparable.

Say $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})$ has a component, $\mathcal{Y} \neq \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1})$, and suppose $A \in \mathcal{Y}$ has rank $n-1$, so $\mathcal{Y} \neq \mathrm{I}_{n-1}$. Then there exist $\underline{\mathrm{i}} \neq \underline{\mathrm{j}}$ such that $\det A(\underline{\mathrm{i}}; \underline{\mathrm{j}}) \neq 0$, an open condition. Thus there exists a non-empty open set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, still irreducible, on which $\det B(\underline{\mathrm{i}}; \underline{\mathrm{j}}) \neq 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\dim(\mathcal{U}) = \dim(\mathcal{Y})$. We note how, if τ is a size n permutation matrix, τ^{T} its transpose, then the action $X \mapsto \tau \cdot X \cdot \tau^{\mathrm{T}}$ performs the same permutation on the rows of X as it does



FIGURE 1. Estimation of $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{P}_t(X))$ from Corollary 4. It is enough to kill the first t' entries of the t'th row, $t+2 \le t' \le n$, to ensure the principal minors involving that row vanish.

the columns, and hence preserves $\mathfrak{P}_t$. So we assert, without loss of generality, that $\underline{\mathrm{i}} = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{j}} = \{2, \dots, n\}$. Now factor $B \in \mathcal{U}$:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ 0 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ 0 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ 0 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ 0 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} B(\underline{\mathrm{i}};\underline{\mathrm{j}}) \begin{pmatrix} c_1' & c_1' & c_1' & c_1' & c_1' \\ \vdots & & & & \\ c_{n-2}' & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

The remaining $n-2$ principal minor conditions force $n-2$ of the parameters $c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}, c_1', \dots, c_{n-2}'$ to vanish. What is left are $n-2$ non-zero parameters, along with the $(n-1)^2$ parameters that are the entries of $B(\underline{\mathrm{i}};\underline{\mathrm{j}})$. We have

$$\dim \mathcal{U} \le (n-1)^2 + (n-2) = n^2 - (n+1).$$

But $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ has $\binom{n}{n-1} = n < n+1$ generators, so the closure $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{Y}$ cannot be a component. □

Corollary 4. *For $n \neq 3$, $\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{P}_t \le \binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{t+2}{2} + 4$.*

Proof. We estimate the height by killing variables in $K[X]$, as in Figure 1. If we first kill the last row of X then any principal minor involving that row, and hence, the last column, must vanish. Therefore, if we want the principal minors involving the second-to-last row to vanish, it is enough to kill the first $n-1$ entries. We may continue this argument inductively until we get to the $(t+1)$st row, having killed $n + (n-1) + \cdots + n - (n-t-2) = \binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{t+2}{2}$ variables so far. When $n \ge 4$, Theorem 4 says the t-minors of the upper left $(t+1) \times (t+1)$ submatrix of X have height 4, and that is independent of the variables we already killed, so we get the desired bound in that case. In the cases where $n = 1, 2$ we may directly compute the height to see it satisfies the desired bound. □

4. Special Case: $\mathfrak{P}_3(X_{4\times 4})$

In general, Theorem 4 gives us $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathrm{I}_{n-1} \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$. However, computations in *Macaulay2* show, in several prime characteristics, that equality holds for $n = 4$. We shall show, in fact, that $\mathfrak{P}_3(X_{4\times 4})$ is reduced in *all* characteristics. As a consequence, $\mathrm{I}_3(X_{4\times 4})$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_3(X_{4\times 4})$ are linked and we list relevant corollaries of this fact. Throughout this section, unless specified otherwise, $n = 4$. We first need the following more general lemma.

Lemma 1. *For any n, $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ does not contain any size $r < n-1$ minors of X.*

Proof. Choose an $r \times r$ minor of X, indexed by the rows $\underline{\mathrm{i}} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ and columns $\underline{\mathrm{j}} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ of X. We shall exhibit an $n \times n$ matrix $A \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1})$, whose $(\underline{\mathrm{i}}, \underline{\mathrm{j}})$-minor is non-zero. If we choose A as a permutation matrix of the identity matrix $\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}$, then since permutation matrices are orthogonal, it shall suffice to construct a matrix $A' = A^{\mathrm{T}}$, the transpose of A, whose main diagonal is all zeros, and whose $(\underline{\mathrm{j}}, \underline{\mathrm{i}})$-minor does not vanish.

We construct the submatrix $A'(\underline{\mathrm{j}}; \underline{\mathrm{i}})$ by setting it equal to a permutation matrix of $\mathbf{I}_{r\times r}$ such that any entries on the main diagonal of A' are zero. Then in A' put zeros in the remaining entries in the columns $\underline{\mathrm{i}}$. Now complete the standard basis of column vectors, permuting the remaining columns so that the entries on the main diagonal of A' are zero. □

Theorem 5. *Suppose $n = 4$. Then $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{P}_3$ is reduced, and hence $\mathfrak{P}_3 = \mathrm{I}_3 \cap \mathfrak{Q}_3$.*

Proof. Theorem 4 implies $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is unmixed, so it suffices to show its primary decomposition is exactly $\mathrm{I}_3 \cap \mathfrak{Q}_3$. Also, we have the property $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is reduced if and only if its image at any localization is also reduced. Let $\mu_{\bar{i}} \in \mathfrak{P}_3$ denote the principal minor obtained by omitting the ith row and column of X. By inverting elements of $S = K[X]$, we first solve for variables using the equations $\mu_{\bar{i}} = 0$. Then we check the image of $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is reduced.

Invert the minor $\delta_1 = x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21}$, which is not in I_3 because I_3 is generated by degree three polynomials, and which is not in $\mathfrak{Q}_3$ by Lemma 1. Put $S_{\delta_1} = S[\frac{1}{\delta_1}]$, where $S = K[X]$. We use $\mu_{\bar{4}} = 0$ to solve for x_{33}; let $F = \mu_{\bar{4}}|_{x_{33}=0}$, i.e., the determinant $\mu_{\bar{4}}$, evaluated at $x_{33} = 0$. Then $F \equiv -x_{33}\delta_1 \mod \mu_{\bar{4}}$, and

$$\frac{S_{\delta_1}}{\mathfrak{P}_3 S_{\delta_1}} \cong \frac{K[\frac{1}{\delta_1}, X|_{x_{33}=-\frac{F}{\delta_1}}]}{\left(\mu_{\bar{1}}, \mu_{\bar{2}}, \mu_{\bar{3}} \mid x_{33} = -\frac{F}{\delta_1}\right)}.$$

Similarly, put $G = \mu_{\bar{3}}|_{x_{44}=0}$. Since G is an expression independent of F, we have

$$\frac{S_{\delta_1}}{\mathfrak{P}_3 S_{\delta_1}} \cong \frac{K[\frac{1}{\delta_1}, X|_{x_{33}=-\frac{F}{\delta_1}, x_{44}=-\frac{G}{\delta_1}}]}{\left(\underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ x_{32} & -\frac{F}{\delta_1} & x_{34} \\ x_{42} & x_{43} & -\frac{G}{\delta_1} \end{vmatrix}}_{\mu_{\bar{1}}|_{x_{33}=-\frac{F}{\delta_1}, x_{44}=-\frac{G}{\delta_1}}}, \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ x_{31} & -\frac{F}{\delta_1} & x_{34} \\ x_{41} & x_{43} & -\frac{G}{\delta_1} \end{vmatrix}}_{\mu_{\bar{2}}|_{x_{33}=-\frac{F}{\delta_1}, x_{44}=-\frac{G}{\delta_1}}} \right)}.$$

We now solve for a variable not appearing in either polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} F \equiv & x_{31}(x_{12}x_{23} - x_{13}x_{22}) - x_{32}(x_{11}x_{23} - x_{13}x_{21}) \mod \mu_{\bar{4}} \\ G \equiv & x_{41}(x_{12}x_{24} - x_{14}x_{22}) - x_{42}(x_{11}x_{24} - x_{14}x_{21}) \mod \mu_{\bar{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

using $\mu_{\bar{2}}$. Invert $\delta_2 = x_{11}x_{34} - x_{14}x_{31}$, which, again, is not in I_3 nor $\mathfrak{O}_3$. Then define $H = \mu_{\bar{2}}|_{x_{43}=0}$. The image of $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is now principal:

$$\mathfrak{P}_3 S_{\delta_1,\delta_2} \cong \left(\begin{vmatrix} x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ x_{32} & -\frac{F}{\delta_1} & x_{34} \\ x_{42} & \frac{H}{\delta_2} & -\frac{G}{\delta_1} \end{vmatrix} \right) \cdot K\left[\frac{1}{\delta_1}, \frac{1}{\delta_2}, X|_{x_{33}=-\frac{F}{\delta_1}, x_{44}=-\frac{G}{\delta_1}, x_{43}=\frac{H}{\delta_2}} \right]$$

Let γ denote the generator of the image of $\mathfrak{P}_3 S_{\delta_1,\delta_2}$, upon clearing denominators.

The localized polynomial ring S_{δ_1,δ_2} is a unique factorization domain, so it suffices to prove the irreducible factors of $\gamma \in S$ with non-zero image in $\mathrm{I}_3(S/\mathfrak{P}_3)$ or $\mathfrak{O}_3(S/\mathfrak{P}_3)$ are square-free. We factor γ in the polynomial ring S, using *Macaulay2*, where we put $K = \mathbb{Z}$ (which will imply the factorization is valid in all equicharacteristics):

$$\gamma = \det X(\underline{\mathrm{i}}; \underline{\mathrm{j}}) f',$$

where $\underline{\mathrm{i}} = \{1,2,3\}, \underline{\mathrm{j}} = \{1,2,4\}$,

$$f' = x_{31}(x_{12}x_{23} - x_{13}x_{22})Y_3 + x_{14}(x_{21}x_{42} - x_{22}x_{41})\mu_{\bar{4}} + \delta_1 f,$$

and f is a degree 4 irreducible polynomial (see Equation (3)). Modulo the ideal $\mathfrak{P}_3$, we get

$$\gamma \equiv \det X(\underline{\mathrm{i}}; \underline{\mathrm{j}}) \delta_1 f \mod \mathfrak{P}_3,$$

which is square-free, as desired. □

The polynomial f in the proof of Theorem 5 is

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad f = & -x_{14}x_{21}x_{33}x_{42} + x_{11}x_{23}x_{34}x_{42} + x_{14}x_{22}x_{31}x_{43} \\ & - x_{11}x_{22}x_{34}x_{43} - x_{12}x_{23}x_{31}x_{44} + x_{12}x_{21}x_{33}x_{44}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is a reduced complete intersection implies its minimal primes, I_3 and $\mathfrak{O}_3$, are linked; recall, two ideals I, J in a Cohen-Macaulay ring

R are *linked* (or *algebraically linked*) means there exists a regular sequence $\mathbf{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_h$ in $I \cap J$ such that $J = (\mathbf{f}) :_R I$ and $I = (\mathbf{f}) :_R J$.

Remark 1. Theorem 5 follows from the fact that I_3 and $\mathfrak{Q}_3$ are *geometrically linked*.

For the remainder of this paper, we use statements from Proposition 2.5 and Remark 2.7 in [19] to pull some corollaries from Theorem 5. In our context, all results for local rings also hold for *graded local* rings (see Chapter 1.5 of [2] for justification for that statement).

Proposition ([31], 2.5 of [19])**.** *Let I be an unmixed ideal of height h in a (not necessarily local) Gorenstein ring R, and let $\mathbf{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_h$ be a regular sequence inside I with $(\mathbf{f}) \neq I$, and set $J = (\mathbf{f}) : I$.*

(a) $I = (\mathbf{f}) : J$ (i.e., I and J are linked).
(b) R/I is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if R/J is Cohen-Macaulay.
(c) Let R be local and let R/I be Cohen-Macaulay. Then $\omega_{R/J} \cong I/(\mathbf{f})$ and $\omega_{R/I} \cong J/(\mathbf{f})$, where $\omega_{R'}$ denotes the canonical modules for a Cohen-Macaulay ring R'.

Corollary 5. *$\mathfrak{Q}_3$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. For any n, determinantal ideals are known to be Cohen-Macaulay. Linkage implies $\mathfrak{Q}_3$ must also be Cohen-Macaulay. □

For the next corollary, we introduce an $\mathbb{N}^{2n}$-multigrading on $K[X]$: a polynomial has degree $(r_1, \ldots, r_n; c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ means under the standard grading, its degree in the variables from the ith row (resp., jth column) of X is r_i (resp. c_j), for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (resp., $j = 1, \ldots, n$). Alternatively, $\deg x_{ij} = (\mathbf{e}_i; \mathbf{e}_j)$, the entries from the standard basis vectors for K^n. We freely use that the sum, product, intersection, and colon of multigraded ideals is multigraded, as well as all associated primes over a multigraded ideal. Observe how in the standard grading, the degree of any polynomial in the standard grading equals the sums $r_1 + \cdots + r_n = c_1 + \cdots + c_n$.

Corollary 6. *$\mathfrak{Q}_3 = \mathfrak{P}_3 + (f)$, where f is as in Equation* (3)*.*

Proof. Since I_3 is Gorenstein ([39]), linkage implies the canonical module,

$$\omega_{K[X]/\mathrm{I}_3} \cong \mathfrak{Q}_3/\mathfrak{P}_3,$$

is cyclic. The proof of Theorem 5 shows the image of f in $\mathfrak{Q}_3/\mathfrak{P}_3$ is non-zero. It remains to show f actually generates $\omega_{K[X]/\mathrm{I}_3}$.

We saw f has degree 4 in the standard grading, so we show no polynomial of degree strictly less than 4 can generate $\mathfrak{Q}_3/\mathfrak{P}_3$. Assume $g \in \mathfrak{Q}_3$ is such a polynomial. Because $\mathfrak{P}_3 :_{K[X]} \mathrm{I}_3 = \mathfrak{Q}_3$, g must multiply every generator $X_{ij} \in \mathrm{I}_3$ into $\mathfrak{P}_3$. Suppose g has degree 0 in the ith row. The product of g with any 3-minor not involving the ith row must then be a multiple of

$X_{ii} \in \mathfrak{P}_3$. If g also has degree 0 in the ith column then either $g \in \mathfrak{P}_3$, a contradiction of the choice of g, or there exists another column $j \neq i$ where g has degree 0 as well. Then the product gX_{ij} must simultaneously divide X_{ii} and X_{jj}. But this cannot happen, because the product has degree 0 in both the ith row and the jth column. □

Remark 2. Corollary 6 also follows from Remark 2.7 in [19].

Recall, for general n, how we defined $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ as the contraction of $\ker \Phi$ to $K[X]$, where Φ is the map from Corollary 2. By definition,

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{P}_{n-1} :_{K[X]} \Delta^{\infty},$$

where $\Delta = \det X$.

Corollary 7. $\mathfrak{Q}_3 = \mathfrak{P}_3 :_{K[X]} \Delta$.

Proof. From Corollary 6, the only generator for $\mathfrak{Q}_3$ outside of $\mathfrak{P}_3$ is f, and direct computation shows $f\Delta \in \mathfrak{P}_3$. □

Conjecture 1. *For all n, $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ is reduced.*

5. Further Work

Several difficulties arise in proving Conjecture 1, the most immediate is that for $n > 4$, the ideals $\mathfrak{P}_{n-1}$ are no longer unmixed. Another difficulty is that for larger n *Macaulay2* in general cannot compute generators for $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$. Current work by the author is in trying to subvert these obstacles.

In general, imposing a rank condition on matrices in $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{P}_t)$ gives a factorization like the one in Equation (2). When the rank r is equal to t, the vanishing of principal minors becomes a statement about algebraic subsets of the products of Grassmannians $\mathrm{Grass}(t, n)$. Future work will also focus on this approach.

References

[1] V. Baranovsky, *The variety of pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices is irreducible*, Transform. Groups **6** (2001), no. 1, 3–8. MR1825165 (2003d:14058)

[2] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR1251956 (95h:13020)

[3] David A. Buchsbaum and David Eisenbud, *Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension* 3, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), no. 3, 447–485. MR0453723 (56 #11983)

[4] C. de Concini and C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), no. 3, 330–354. MR0422314 (54 #10305)

[5] Emanuela De Negri and Elisa Gorla, *Invariants of ideals generated by Pfaffians*, Commutative algebra and its connections to geometry, 2011, pp. 47–62. MR2882673

[6] Persi Diaconis, David Eisenbud, and Bernd Sturmfels, *Lattice walks and primary decomposition*, Mathematical essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota (Cambridge, MA, 1996), 1998, pp. 173–193. MR1627343 (99i:13035)

[7] J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, *Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them.*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **269** (1962), 188–204. MR0142592 (26 #161)

[8] John A. Eagon and M. Hochster, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971October), no. 4, 1020–1058. MR0302643 (46 #1787)

[9] John Alonzo Eagon, *Ideals Generated by the Subdeterminants of a Matrix*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1961. Thesis (Ph.D.)–The University of Chicago. MR2611504

[10] Viviana Ene and Ayesha Asloob Qureshi, *Ideals generated by diagonal 2-minors*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), no. 8, 3058–3066. MR3169440

[11] Murray Gerstenhaber, *On dominance and varieties of commuting matrices*, Ann. of Math. (2) **73** (1961), 324–348. MR0132079 (24 #A1926)

[12] Sudhir R. Ghorpade and Christian Krattenthaler, *The Hilbert series of Pfaffian rings*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry with applications (West Lafayette, IN, 2000), 2004, pp. 337–356. MR2037100 (2005c:13010)

[13] Kent Griffin and Michael J. Tsatsomeros, *Principal minors. II. The principal minor assignment problem*, Linear Algebra Appl. **419** (2006), no. 1, 125–171. MR2263115 (2008h:15015)

[14] Joe Harris and Loring W. Tu, *On symmetric and skew-symmetric determinantal varieties*, Topology **23** (1984), no. 1, 71–84. MR721453 (85c:14032)

[15] M. Hochster, *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 318–337. MR0304376 (46 #3511)

[16] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke, *Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 1, 31–116. MR1017784 (91g:13010)

[17] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke, *Tight closure of parameter ideals and splitting in module-finite extensions*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994), 599–670.

[18] Olga Holtz and Bernd Sturmfels, *Hyperdeterminantal relations among symmetric principal minors*, J. Algebra **316** (2007), no. 2, 634–648. MR2358606 (2009c:15032)

[19] Craig Huneke and Bernd Ulrich, *The structure of linkage*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), no. 2, 277–334. MR908149 (88k:13020)

[20] Tadeusz Józefiak and Piotr Pragacz, *Ideals generated by pfaffians*, Journal of Algebra **61** (1979), 189–198.

[21] H. Kleppe and D. Laksov, *The algebraic structure and deformation of Pfaffian schemes*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), no. 1, 167–189. MR575789 (82b:14027)

[22] Hans Kleppe, *Deformation of schemes by vanishing of pfaffians*, Journal of Algebra **53** (1978), 84–92.

[23] Allen Knutson, *Some schemes related to the commuting variety*, J. Algebraic Geom. **14** (2005), no. 2, 283–294. MR2123231 (2005j:14076)

[24] Vijay Kodiyalam, T. Y. Lam, and R. G. Swan, *Determinantal ideals, Pfaffian ideals, and the principal minor theorem*, Noncommutative rings, group rings, diagram algebras and their applications, 2008, pp. 35–60. MR2416143 (2010g:13016)

[25] P.A. MacMahon, *A Certain Class of Generating Functions in the Theory of Numbers*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London **185** (1894), 111–160.

[26] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR2110098 (2006d:13001)

[27] Charles Christopher Mueller, *On the varieties of pairs of matrices whose product is symmetric*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2007. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Michigan. MR2710619

[28] Thomas Muir, *A treatise on the theory of determinants*, Revised and enlarged by William H. Metzler, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1960. MR0114826 (22 #5644)

[29] Luke Oeding, *Set-theoretic defining equations of the tangential variety of the Segre variety*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), no. 6, 1516–1527. MR2769247 (2012f:14106)
[30] ———, *Set-theoretic defining equations of the variety of principal minors of symmetric matrices*, Algebra Number Theory **5** (2011), no. 1, 75–109. MR2833786 (2012j:14067)
[31] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Liaison des variétés algébriques. I*, Invent. Math. **26** (1974), 271–302. MR0364271 (51 #526)
[32] Piotr Pragacz, *Characteristic free resolution of $n-2$-order Pfaffians of $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrix*, J. Algebra **78** (1982), no. 2, 386–396. MR680366 (85a:13006)
[33] R. W. Richardson, *Commuting varieties of semisimple Lie algebras and algebraic groups*, Compositio Math. **38** (1979), no. 3, 311–327. MR535074 (80c:17009)
[34] T.G. Room, *The geometry of determinantal loci*, Cambridge University Press, 1938.
[35] D. W. Sharpe, *On certain polynomial ideals defined by matrices*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **15** (1964), 155–175. MR0163927 (29 #1226)
[36] E. B. Stouffer, *On the independence of principal minors of determinants*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1924), no. 3, 356–368. MR1501282
[37] ———, *Expressions for the General Determinant in Terms of Its Principal Minors*, Amer. Math. Monthly **35** (1928), no. 1, 18–21. MR1521341
[38] Bernd Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, University Lecture Series, vol. 8, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR1363949 (97b:13034)
[39] Torgny Svanes, *Coherent cohomology on Schubert subschemes of flag schemes and applications*, Advances in Math. **14** (1974), 369–453. MR0419469 (54 #7490)
[40] Hsu-Wen Young, *Components of Algebraic Sets of Commuting and Nearly Commuting Matrices*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2010. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Michigan. MR2827289

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701

E-mail address: ashleykw@uark.edu